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Certification Policy Branch

SNAP Program Development Division

Food and Nutrition Service

United States Department of Agriculture

3101 Park Center Drive

Alexandria, Virginia 22302

Re: Proposed Rule: Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP): Requirements for Able-Bodied Adults without Dependents RIN 0584-AE57

Dear Certification Policy Branch:

United Way of New York State appreciates the opportunity to comment on the proposed rule changes for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As the statewide membership association of United Ways, our members are keenly focused on food security across our state. We know we are all better off when our neighbors have food on the table, a roof over their heads and gas in their cars to get to work. But unfortunately too many families — including children, seniors, veterans, working families and people with disabilities — struggle to make ends meet.  **United Way of New York State respectfully urges the U.S. Department of Agriculture to reject the proposed SNAP rule, which would limit state flexibility, reduce access to a critical work support, and put hundreds of thousands of Americans at risk for hunger across the United States.**

**Importance of SNAP Across America**

Millions of American families are working, but still don’t earn enough to put food on the table and pay for other necessities such as rent, transportation, child care or health care. The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is a vital, short-term lifeline that keeps food on the table for more than 2.7Million New Yorkers including children, seniors and veterans. SNAP provides timely, targeted and temporary support to low-income households for the purchase of food. With approximately 12% of New Yorkers and one in eight U.S. households struggling with food insecurity[[1]](#endnote-1), SNAP supplements the income of low-wage earners helping working families put food on the table and make ends meet. Americans receiving SNAP are the people who need it the most; in fact, the USDA finds that 80 percent of SNAP households include either children, seniors, or people with disabilities[[2]](#endnote-2). SNAP makes food accessible to hungry people more cost-effectively and at a scale that no independent nonprofit can match.

**SNAP Supports Work – The Proposed Rule is Counterproductive to Work**

We believe that there is dignity in work, and with the goal of ensuring individuals can be self-sufficient and move themselves into the middle class—but in many communities, finding a job doesn’t happen overnight and having enough work to get by isn’t always an option.

SNAP helps New Yorkers bounce back from hard times by ensuring they can feed their families while looking for work. We know that even in areas with low unemployment, there is a major mismatch between worker job skills and the needs of employers. The reality is that finding a job can take time. SNAP help workers *temporarily* stay afloat while seeking work. The average participant receives SNAP for 12 months[[3]](#endnote-3) and more than 80 percent of those enrolled in SNAP work in the year before or after receiving SNAP[[4]](#endnote-4).

Additionally, SNAP supports Americans who work hard but still can’t make ends meet. In the low-wage work that fuels our economy, a large share of workers experience high levels of job displacement, spells of low hours and unemployment, and little growth in wages. The New York Report on ALICE: Asset Limited Income Constrained Employed (unitedwayalice.org/new-york) further illustrates that 45% of New Yorkers cannot meet a basic household survival budget. This forces nearly half of the residents of our state to make difficult choices- like whether to purchase food for their family or medicine for their sick child; like fixing the car to keep his/her job or paying for child care. SNAP ensures workers can put food on the table while experiencing low-wage and often unpredictable employment.

**SNAP Allows for State Flexibility in Addressing Time Limits for Those Seeking Work – The Proposed Rule Undermines this Intentional Design**

SNAP has strict time limits for some individuals seeking work and states have the flexibility to assess local employment conditions and individual situations and seek solutions to ensure Americans can put food on the table while looking for work. The proposed rule would undermine this safeguard.

* Adults age 18-49 who don’t have disabilities, children or other dependents are required to work or participate in a work program for at least 20 hours each week to receive SNAP. If individuals in this group do not report work for 20 hours a week (80 hours per month), they may only access SNAP for three months within a 36-month window. Of those subject to the time limit, 45% are women, and an estimated 48% are White, 35% are Black, and 13% are Hispanic[[5]](#endnote-5).
* In many communities, finding a job within three months or actively maintaining at least 20 hours of work per week does not reflect the reality on the ground with local labor market conditions and the seasonal and temporary nature of many low-wage jobs. It also does not take into account some of the individual challenges people face with regards to temporary medical conditions, transportation issues, chronic health issues that may not receive official disability status, and skills mismatches for employment.
* One of the hallmarks of the law is giving states the local control to determine where labor market conditions and individual considerations may make the time limit unrealistic. In 33 states and the District of Columbia[[6]](#endnote-6) – including a partial waiver in NY , SNAP administrators use waivers for areas with above average rates of unemployment or lack of sufficient jobs to facilitate access to SNAP and prevent hunger within their states. States are also given discretion to exempt a small percentage of individuals (12% of the individuals subject to the time limits) from the time limit for one month using a pool of exemptions. Any unused exemptions in a given year can be carried over for future use in challenging times.
* This state flexibility is essential and was maintained by Congress in the bipartisan Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018.

**Proposed Rule Would Override Intent of Congress, Limit State Flexibility, and Hurt Workers**

The proposed rule would implement barriers for states to obtain waivers and provide individual exemptions with the consequence of cutting food assistance from the USDA’s own estimates of 755,000 low-income Americans[[7]](#endnote-7). The major changes involve imposing new restrictive criteria for waivers to be accepted such as: limiting how geographic areas for waivers are defined, deeming insufficient the current criteria for an area with 20% above the national unemployment rate to receive a waiver and implementing an additional floor for unemployment, cutting the duration of waivers, and restricting the sources of data that states can use to demonstrate an area’s lack of sufficient jobs. The proposed rule also cuts a state’s availability of individual exemptions by removing the ability to carryover unused exemptions for challenging times in the future. States currently using waivers will experience surges in food insecurity without net employment benefits and states without waivers will have limited options to respond to changing conditions in the future.

Millions of Americans make use of SNAP in any given year to get through hard times and to advance to a better life. SNAP is structured to support low-wage workers and job seekers. Taking away the ability for the state to respond to local conditions and make the best decisions closer to the ground, only makes it harder for Americans to move up the economic ladder and contribute to their fullest capacity to the American economy. Further, the proposed rule runs counter to recently passed bipartisan Farm Bill legislation during which Congress examined similar proposals but voted to reauthorize the SNAP program with the current use of waivers and exemptions presently being implemented by states.

**The USDA Should Reject the Proposed Rule**

United Way of New York State believes that those who can work should, and we help equip people to be self-sufficient through workforce partnerships, education and job training, child care supports and more. The USDA attempts to justify the proposed rule in the name of promoting self-sufficiency but the proposed rule does nothing to support low-income job seekers in obtaining work – it does the opposite. Rather than shoring up job training and work supports, the rule simply takes food off the table for those searching for work or struggling to get by, making it more challenging to find and keep a job.

United Way of New York State works to promote financial stability and independence and with this expertise, we know **that taking food away from people is not a pathway to work, stable employment, nor economic success.** **The USDA should reject the proposed rule that limits state flexibility, hurts job seekers, and increases food insecurity and hunger.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Brenda Episcopo

President & CEO

United Way of New York State
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